

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/971,872 10/04/2001 Casey Prindiville 6047-61247 5187

> 7590 07/01/2003

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN CAMPBELL LEIGH & WHINSTON, LIFP Attn: Lisa M. Caldwell

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600 121 S.W. Salmon Street Portland, OR 97204-2988

EXAMINER PATEL, ISHWARBHAI B

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

2827

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/971,872	PRINDIVILLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2827
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under		
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly		
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  10.□ Other:		MARIO CUNEO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000		

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument:

There is nothing in the prior art, either alone or in combination, that suggest using defective dies for this specific purpose:

- (a) As disclosed by the prior art of Vindasius it is normal to receive non functional or defective die from the manufacturer, Vindasius, column 4, line 15-25 and it is inherent to have that defective die closing the bond slot, if the defective die is used, knowingly or unknowingly.
- (b) It is known in the art to have defective circuits on a panel with multiple circuits. In such a situation, though die it self may not be defective one, but as the circuit is defective, the die will inherently be a non-functional die on that location. It is not the explicit disclosure but the inherent structure with the non-functional die.
- (c) Both the independent claims 9 and 23 do not explicitly claim using the defective die for closing the bond slot.